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A thermal equilibrium state of a quantum many-body system can be represented by a typical pure state, which we call a thermal pure quantum (TPQ) state. We construct the canonical TPQ state, which corresponds to the canonical ensemble of the conventional statistical mechanics. Only a single realization of the TPQ state gives all variables of statistical-mechanical interest. We show that TPQ states corresponding to different ensembles give identical thermodynamic results, and they are related by simple analytic transformations. We have thus completed the TPQ formulation of statistical mechanics. We also show that it has great advantages in practical applications.

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d, 75.10.Jm, 05.70.Ce, 02.70.-c

Statistical mechanics is conventionally described by the ensemble formulation, in which an equilibrium state is represented by a mixed quantum state. Although its basic principles are introduced in the microcanonical ensemble, one can derive other ensembles, such as the canonical ensemble. All the ensembles are guaranteed to give identical thermodynamic results, i.e., they give the same equilibrium values of macroscopic variables. In this sense, all ensembles are equivalent. This makes statistical mechanics powerful and practical because for applications the (grand) canonical ensemble is often more convenient than the microcanonical one.

On the other hand, recent studies have shown that almost all pure quantum states in a specified energy shell represent an equilibrium state. Generalizing this fact, we have defined a thermal pure quantum (TPQ) state as a pure quantum state which represents an equilibrium state, and proposed a new formulation of statistical mechanics based on the TPQ state. Since the TPQ state proposed there corresponds to the microcanonical ensemble, we here call it a microcanonical TPQ state. Then, natural questions arise: Are there TPQ states which correspond to other ensembles? Are they equivalent?

In this Letter, we construct a new type of TPQ state, the canonical TPQ state, which corresponds to the canonical ensemble. We show that it is equivalent to the microcanonical TPQ state, i.e., they give identical thermodynamical results. We also show that they are related by simple analytic transformations. The TPQ states corresponding to other ensembles, such as the grand canonical ensemble, can also be constructed in a similar manner. These results complete the new formulation of statistical mechanics, according to which all quantities of statistical-mechanical interest can be obtained from a single realization of the TPQ state. This formulation is not only interesting as fundamental physics but also advantageous in practical applications because one needs only to construct a single pure state by just multiplying the hamiltonian matrix to a random vector. The canonical TPQ state is particularly advantageous at low but finite temperature, whereas the microcanonical one is suitable for first-order phase transitions. As an illustration, we study the spin-1/2 kagome Heisenberg antiferromagnet (KHA) using the canonical TPQ state.

Setup and definition – We use the same setup as that in Ref. [2]. That is, assuming an effective model that describes the energy scale of interest, we consider a discrete quantum system composed of N sites, which is described by a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_N$ of finite dimension $\lambda^N$, where $\lambda$ is a constant of $\Theta(1)$. $[\lambda = 2$ for spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ systems.] To take the thermodynamic limit, we use quantities per site, $\hat{h} \equiv H/N$ and $u \equiv E/N$, where $H$ denotes the Hamiltonian and $E$ the energy. We do not write explicitly variables other than $u$ and $N$, such as a magnetic field. We assume that the ensemble formulation gives the correct results, which are consistent with thermodynamical results.

Statistical mechanics treats macroscopic variables, which include ‘mechanical’ and ‘genuine thermodynamic’ ones. Mechanical variables, such as energy and spin-spin correlation functions, are the observables that are represented as such operators. All genuine thermodynamic variables, such as temperature and thermodynamic functions, cannot be represented as such operators. All genuine thermodynamic variables are functions of the entropy and its derivatives.

We have defined the TPQ state for the case where it has a random variable(s), as follows [2]. A state $|\psi\rangle$ $(\in \mathcal{H}_N)$ is called the TPQ state if $\langle \hat{A}_N \rangle^\psi = \langle \hat{A}_N \rangle^{\text{ens}}$ uniformly as $N \to \infty$, where $\langle \hat{A}_N \rangle^\psi \equiv \langle \psi | \hat{A} | \psi \rangle / \langle \psi | \psi \rangle$, $\langle \cdot \rangle^{\text{ens}}$ denotes convergence in probability, and $\langle \cdot \rangle^{\text{micro}}$ the ensemble average. That is, for an arbitrary positive number $\epsilon$ there exists a function $\eta(\epsilon)$ which vanishes in the thermodynamic limit and satisfies

$$P \left( \left| \langle \hat{A}_N \rangle^\psi - \langle \hat{A}_N \rangle^{\text{ens}} \right| \geq \epsilon \right) \leq \eta(\epsilon) \quad (1)$$
for every mechanical variable $\hat{A}$. Here, $P(x)$ denotes the probability of event $x$. This means that for sufficiently large $N$ just a single realization of $|\psi\rangle$ gives the equilibrium values of all mechanical variables. Note that $|\psi\rangle$ is never obtained by ‘purification’ of the density operator of the ensemble formulation, because $|\psi\rangle$ is not a vector in an enlarged Hilbert space but a vector in $\mathcal{H}_N$.

Canonical TPQ state – We have previously constructed the microcanonical TPQ state, which is specified by $(u, N)$ (i.e., independent variables are $u, N$) \[2\]. We now construct the canonical TPQ state, which is specified by $(\beta, N)$. $[T = 1/\beta$ is the temperature.]

We take an arbitrary orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_N, \{|i\}\}$, which can be a trivial one such as a set of product states. We also take random complex numbers $\{c_i\}$, which are drawn uniformly from the unit sphere $\sum_i |c_i|^2 = 1$. Then, $|\psi_0\rangle \equiv \sum_i c_i |i\rangle$ is a random vector in $\mathcal{H}_N$. Note that this construction of $|\psi_0\rangle$ is independent of the choice of $\{|i\}\}$. We will show that

\[|\beta, N\rangle \equiv \exp[-N \hat{H}/2]|\psi_0\rangle \tag{2}\]

is the canonical TPQ state specified by $(\beta, N)$. [It is unnormalized for later convenience.] We start with the rather obvious equality;

\[
\frac{\langle \beta, N|\hat{A}|\beta, N \rangle}{\langle \beta, N|\beta, N \rangle} = \sum_{i,j} c_i^* c_j \langle i| e^{-N \hat{H}/2} \hat{A} e^{-N \hat{H}/2}|j \rangle = \sum_{i,j} c_i^* c_j \langle i| e^{-N \hat{H}}|j \rangle
\]

\[
\sum_{i} \langle i| e^{-N \hat{H}}|i \rangle = \langle \hat{A}\rangle_{\beta,N}^{\text{can}}, \tag{3}\]

where $\langle \alpha| \rho |\beta \rangle$ denotes the random average, $(\hat{A})_{\beta,N}^{\text{can}} \equiv \text{Tr}[e^{-N \hat{H}} \hat{A}]/Z(\beta, N)$, and $Z(\beta, N) \equiv \text{Tr} e^{-N \hat{H}}$. To investigate how fast $\langle \hat{A}\rangle_{\beta,N}^{\text{TPQ}} \equiv \langle \beta, N|\hat{A}|\beta, N \rangle/\langle \beta, N|\beta, N \rangle$ converges to this value, we calculate

\[D_N(A)^2 \equiv \left( \langle \hat{A}\rangle_{\beta,N}^{\text{TPQ}} - \langle \hat{A}\rangle_{\beta,N}^{\text{can}} \right)^2. \tag{4}\]

With the help of formulas in Ref. \[8\], we find

\[D_N(A)^2 \leq E_N(A)^2, \tag{5}\]

\[E_N(A)^2 \equiv \left( \langle \Delta \hat{A}\rangle_{\beta,N}^{2,\text{can}} \right) \equiv \langle (A - \langle A\rangle_{\beta,N}^{\text{can}})^2 |\beta, N \rangle, f(T; N) \]

is the free energy density, and we have dropped smaller-order terms. [We here use $(T; N)$ instead of $(T; N)$ to indicate that $f(T; N)$ becomes independent of $N$ in the thermodynamic limit.] Since $(\langle \Delta \hat{A}\rangle_{\beta,N}^{2,\text{can}} \leq ||A||^2 \leq K^2 N^{2m}$, and $N \beta f(0; N) - f(1/\beta; N) = \Theta(N)$ at finite $T$ (because the entropy density $s = -\partial f/\partial T > 0$), we find

\[E_N(A)^2 \leq K^2 N^{2m}/e^{\Theta(N)}\]

which becomes exponentially small with increasing $N$. We can also evaluate $D_N(A)^2$ more strictly as

\[D_N(A)^2 \leq \frac{\left( \langle \Delta \hat{A}\rangle_{\beta,N}^{2,\text{can}} + \langle \hat{A}\rangle_{\beta,N}^{\text{can}} - \langle \hat{A}\rangle_{\beta,N}^{\text{can}} \right)^2}{\exp[2N \beta \{f(1/2\beta; N) - f(1/\beta; N)]}. \tag{6}\]

which is exponentially smaller than $E_N(A)^2$ for large $N$. On the other hand, a generalized Markov’s inequality, yields, for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$,

\[P \left( \frac{\langle \hat{A}\rangle_{\beta,N}^{\text{TPQ}} - \langle \hat{A}\rangle_{\beta,N}^{\text{can}}}{\epsilon} \geq \epsilon \right) \leq D_N(A)^2/\epsilon^2. \tag{8}\]

The right-hand side is $\leq K^2 N^{2m}/\epsilon^2 e^{\Theta(N)}$, which vanishes exponentially fast with increasing $N$, for every mechanical variable $\hat{A}$. Therefore, $(\hat{A})_{\beta,N}^{\text{TPQ}} \overset{\epsilon}{\rightarrow} (\hat{A})_{\beta,N}^{\text{can}}$ uniformly, which shows that $|\beta, N\rangle$ is the canonical TPQ state.

This result may be understood intuitively as follows. Although $c_i$’s distribute randomly, the law of large numbers works well because an exponentially large number of $c_i$’s are included in $|\beta, N\rangle$. As a result, $|\beta, N\rangle|\beta, N\rangle$ and $(\hat{A}|\beta, N\rangle$ converge in probability to their random averages, whose ratio gives the correct result as Eq. \[9\]. Although this intuitive discussion is easy to understand, it is important to obtain explicitly the upper bound of probability of error as formulas \[8\]-\[10\].

Genuine thermodynamic variables – We now show that $|\beta, N\rangle$ also gives genuine thermodynamic variables correctly. In the ensemble formulation, the partition function $Z(\beta, N)$ gives $f = -(1/\beta N) \ln Z$. Similarly, in our formulation the length of $|\beta, N\rangle$ gives $f$ as

\[\langle \beta, N|\beta, N \rangle = Z(\beta, N)/\lambda^N = \exp[-N \beta f]/\lambda^N, \tag{9}\]

\[P \left[ \frac{\langle \beta, N|\beta, N \rangle/\lambda^N - 1}{\epsilon} \geq \epsilon \right] \leq 1/\epsilon^2 \exp[2N \beta \{f(1/2\beta; N) - f(1/\beta; N)]]. \tag{10}\]

Therefore, $(\hat{\beta}, N|\beta, N) \overset{\epsilon}{\rightarrow} Z(\beta, N)/\lambda^N$, and a single realization of the canonical TPQ state gives $f$, with exponentially small probability of error, by

\[-\beta f(1/\beta; N) = \frac{1}{N} \ln \langle \beta, N|\beta, N \rangle + \ln \lambda. \tag{11}\]

All genuine thermodynamic variables can be calculated from $f \equiv \langle \hat{A}\rangle_{\beta,N}^{\text{can}}$. Furthermore, using $f$ obtained from this formula, one can estimate the upper bound of errors from formulas \[8\]-\[10\]. In this sense, our formulas are almost self-validating ones. This property is particularly useful in practical applications because one can confirm the validity of the results without comparing them with results of other methods.

We can show similar results for the unnormalized microcanonical TPQ state, $|k\rangle \equiv (l - \hat{h})^k|\psi_0\rangle \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$, \[12\] which represents the equilibrium state at the energy density $u_k = \langle \hat{h}|k\rangle/\langle k|k\rangle$. Here, $l$ is an arbitrary constant such that $l \geq \max[\text{eigenvalue of } \hat{h}] \equiv \max[\beta \equiv \beta_{\text{max}}]$. The squared length $Q_k \equiv \langle k|k\rangle$ gives the entropy density $s(u; N)$ by

\[s(u; N) = \frac{1}{N} \ln Q_k - \frac{2k}{N} \ln(l - u_k) + \ln \lambda + O(1/N). \tag{13}\]
This formula gives \( s \) more directly than the formula of Ref. [3], in which \( s \) is obtained by integrating \( \beta \).

**Equivalence of TPQ states and analytic relations**—In the ensemble formulation, the equivalence of ensembles is crucial. Also in our formulation, the canonical and the microcanonical TPQ states are equivalent. In fact, Eqs. (11) and (13) show that both TPQ states give the correct thermodynamic functions in the thermodynamic limit. Since \( \beta f(1/\beta) = \beta f(1/\beta; \infty) \) and \( s(u) \) \((= s(u; \infty))\) are equivalent (because they are related by the Legendre transformation), so are both TPQ states.

We can go one step further: We will show that these states are by themselves related by simple analytic transformations. To see this, we expand the exponential function of \( e^{N\beta/2}\beta, N = e^{N\beta/2}(\beta, N) \) as

\[
e^{N\beta/2}\beta, N = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(N\beta/2)^k}{k!} |k\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R_k |\psi_k\rangle.
\]

Here, \( |\psi_k\rangle \equiv (1/\sqrt{N}) |k\rangle \) is the normalized microcanonical TPQ state [3], and \( R_k \equiv (N\beta/2)^k \sqrt{N}/k! \). We can prove that the coefficient \( R_k \) takes the maximum at \( k^* \) \((= \Theta(N))\) such that

\[
\beta = \beta(u_k; N) + O(1/N), \quad (15)
\]

where \( \beta(u; \beta) \) is the temperature of the equilibrium state specified by \( \{u; N\} \), i.e., \( \beta(u; N) = \partial s(u; N)/\partial u \) and \( u_k \equiv (\langle \psi_k|\hat{h}|\psi_k\rangle) \). We can also prove that \( R_k \) vanishes exponentially fast for \( |k - k^*| \geq \Theta(N) \). That is, \( R_k \) has a sharp peak at \( k^* \) and relevant terms in the sum are localized in the range \( |k - k^*| = o(N) \). This means that \( |\beta, N\rangle \) is almost composed of \( |\psi_k\rangle \)'s whose temperature is close to \( 1/\beta \). Moreover, we can prove that the sum is uniformly convergent on any finite interval of \( \beta \). That is, if one fixes arbitrarily the upper bound \( \beta_{\max} \) \((> 0)\) of \( \beta \) depending on one's purposes, then the series is uniformly convergent for all \( \beta \) such that \( 0 \leq \beta \leq \beta_{\max} \).

We have thus shown that the canonical TPQ state can be expressed as superposition of the microcanonical ones. [Note that this expansion is obtained because we have expanded \( e^{N\beta/2}(\beta, N) = e^{-N\beta^2/2} \) rather than \( e^{-N\beta^2/2} \). Inversely, we can also obtain the microcanonical TPQ state from the canonical one, e.g., by

\[
|k\rangle = \left( \frac{2}{N} \right)^{k/2} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \beta^k} e^{N\beta/2}|\beta, N\rangle \Big|_{\beta=0}.
\]

**Different representations of the same equilibrium state**—The canonical density operator \( e^{-N\beta^2/2} \) is invariant under time evolution. \(|\beta, N\rangle \) is also invariant in the sense that it traverses various realizations of the same canonical TPQ state. To see this, let us investigate \( e^{-iN\hat{h}/\hbar}|\beta, N\rangle \) \(= \exp[-N\beta^2/2 e^{-iN\hat{h}/\hbar}|\psi_0\rangle \). By taking the energy eigenstates \( \{|n\rangle\} \) as the arbitrary basis \( \{|i\rangle\} \) used in the construction of \( |\psi_0\rangle \), we have

\[
e^{-iN\hat{h}/\hbar}|\psi_0\rangle = \sum_n c_n^* |n\rangle, \quad \text{where} \quad c_n^* \equiv e^{-iNe_n\hat{h}/\hbar} c_n \quad \text{and} \quad e_n \quad \text{is an eigenvalue of} \quad \hat{h}.
\]

This is another realization of the random vector \( |\psi_0\rangle \). Hence, \( e^{-iN\hat{h}/\hbar}|\beta, N\rangle \) is another realization of the TPQ state for every \( t \). Since almost all realizations of the TPQ state give identical results for macroscopic variables, as proved above, the TPQ state is macroscopically stationary in consistent with thermodynamics. This clearly illustrates the fact that the macroscopic time-invariance of equilibrium states does not necessarily imply the microscopic time-invariance. Moreover, according to experience, any quantum state representing an equilibrium state should be stable against weak external perturbations. We can show using the results of Refs. [2, 13] that the TPQ states do have such stability. These facts support that an equilibrium state can be represented by various microstates, including \( e^{-N\beta^2} \) and \( |\beta, N\rangle \).

Note also that one can generate in a quantum computer the TPQ state of any many-body system (as long as its properties are consistent with thermodynamics). This can be done, using Eqs. (12) and (14) \((\text{whose sum is terminated at} \; k_{\text{term}}; \text{see below})\), only in a polynomial time \( \text{Poly}(N) \). This observation may open new applications of quantum computers.

**Practical formulas**—It is practical to calculate \(|\beta, N\rangle \) from \(|\psi_k\rangle\)'s through Eq. (14), because the microcanonical TPQ states \(|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle, \ldots, |\psi_k\rangle \) can be obtained iteratively by simply multiplying \((1 - \hat{h})\) with \(|\psi_0\rangle \) \(k \) times \([3]\). Since \( R_k \) has a sharp peak at \( k^* \) \((\text{given by} \; \text{Eq. (15)})\), one can terminate the sum at a finite number \( k_{\text{term}} \). It is sufficient to take \( k_{\text{term}} \) such that \( k_{\text{term}} - k_{\text{max}} = \Theta(N) \), where \( k_{\text{max}} \) is \( k^* \) corresponding to \( \beta_{\max} \). Since we can show that \( k^* = \Theta(N) \) for any finite \( \beta, k_{\text{max}} = \Theta(N) \). Hence, \( k_{\text{term}} = \Theta(N) \). In this way, one can obtain \(|\beta, N\rangle \) by multiplying \((1 - \hat{h})\) repeatedly \( \Theta(N) \) times.

All macroscopic variables can be calculated from the obtained \(|\beta, N\rangle \). One can also calculate them without obtaining \(|\beta, N\rangle \) explicitly. To see this, we note that all macroscopic variables can be obtained from \( \langle \beta, N|\hat{A}|\beta, N\rangle \) and \( \langle \beta, N|\beta, N\rangle \), as shown by inequalities (3) and (14). Since the latter is included in the former as the case of \( \hat{A} = \hat{1} \), we consider the former. From Eq. (13),

\[
\langle \beta, N|\hat{A}|\beta, N\rangle = e^{-N\beta^2} \sum \langle k|\hat{A}|k\rangle.
\]

For the special case where \( \langle \hat{A}, \hat{h} \rangle = 0 \), this reduces to

\[
\langle \beta, N|\hat{A}|\beta, N\rangle = \langle \hat{A}\rangle_{\beta, N},
\]

\[
\langle \hat{A}\rangle_{\beta, N} \equiv \sum_k \left( \frac{(N\beta/2)^k}{(2k)!} \right) (k|\hat{A}|k) + \sum_k \left( \frac{(N\beta)^k}{(2k + 1)!} \right) (k|\hat{A}|k + 1).
\]

Even when \( \langle \hat{A}, \hat{h} \rangle \neq 0 \), we can prove, rather surprisingly,
that Eq. (17) holds well. Specifically,

$$P \left( \left| \frac{\hat{A}}{\beta, N} - \langle A \rangle_{\beta, N}^{\text{can}} \right| \geq \epsilon \right) \leq \frac{E_N(A)^2}{\epsilon^2}. \quad (19)$$

This and inequality (3) imply \( \hat{A} / \beta, N \!/ \hat{1} / \beta, N \overset{P}{\to} \langle \hat{A} \rangle_{\beta, N}^{\text{can}} \) uniformly. Formula (17) is useful because one needs only to calculate \( \langle k | \hat{A} | k \rangle \) and \( \langle k | \hat{A} | k + 1 \rangle \) for all \( k \leq k_{\text{term}} \) to obtain the results for all \( \beta \leq \beta_{\text{max}} \).

The TPQ formulation of statistical mechanics – It is straightforward to extend the above theory to the TPQ states corresponding to other ensembles, such as the grand canonical ensemble. We have thus completed the new formulation of statistical mechanics. It is summarized, for the microcanonical and canonical TPQ states, as follows. Depending on the choice of independent variables, \((E, N)\) or \((\beta, N)\), one can use either state, because they give identical thermodynamic results. A single realization of a TPQ state is sufficient for evaluating all quantities of statistical-mechanical interest. In addition, one can estimate the upper bounds of errors (which vanish as \( N \to \infty \)) by formulas (19), (20), (11) and (10). Hence, getting either one implies getting both. Utilizing this fact, we have developed a practical formula (17).

Since the TPQ formulation is much different from the ensemble formulation, it will lead to deeper understanding of macroscopic quantum systems. It is also unique and advantageous as a numerical method: (i) It computes expectation values for a pure quantum state, whereas the other methods compute those for a mixed state (such as \( e^{-N \beta \hat{h}/Z} \)). (ii) No limitation of models, i.e., applicable to any spatial dimensions and to complicated systems such as frustrated systems and fermion systems. (iii) Applicable to finite temperature. At finite \( T \), there are an exponentially large number of states in the corresponding energy shell. This reduces accuracy of many other methods. By contrast, our method becomes more accurate as the number of relevant states increases, as explicitly shown by formulas (5), (6), (10) and (19). (iv) Almost self-validating because one can estimate the upper bounds of errors from these formulas. (v) One can obtain the TPQ states by simply multiplying \( \hat{h} \) repeatedly \( \Theta(N) \) times to a random vector. This is much faster, e.g., than the numerical exact diagonalization (ED) of the full spectrum. [For example, it took only a few days to calculate all data in Fig. 1 on the Intel Xeon E5-2670 (2.6GHz).] (vi) To obtain the results for all \( \beta \leq \beta_{\text{max}} \), only two vectors, \( |k\rangle \) and \( |k + 1\rangle \), are required to store in the computer memory. (vii) The orthogonality, \( \langle k | k' \rangle = 0 \) for \( k \neq k' \), is not necessary at all. This is advantageous to large-scale computations.

Regarding the choice between the canonical and microcanonical TPQ states, one can use either depend-
inset of Fig. 1 (right scale). At $T = 0.2J$ there remains 45% of the total entropy ($= N \ln 2$). Such a large entropy makes $D_N(\Delta^2)$ small.

Finally, to confirm the validity, we compute $c$ for $N = 18$, for which the result of the ED is available [15]. For such a small cluster, the standard deviation estimated from inequality (5) is about 35% at $T = 0.1J$. Therefore, we have used Eq. (13) for $N = 18$ only, taking average over 100 realizations of the TPQ state. Our results (18a, 18b in Fig. 1) agree well with those by the ED [15].
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[6] It is known that the Hilbert space of any quantum system is separable (in the irreducible representation). That is, even when its dimension is infinite its basis vectors are countable. Hence, when the energy scale of interest is given, one can take a finite number of appropriate basis vectors (corresponding to the energy scale) as a basis of an effective Hilbert space. One thus obtains an effective model, which is a discrete quantum system composed of $N$ sites, such as the tight-binding model.
[7] The expression $f(x) = \Theta(g(x))$ denotes $\exists a_1 > 0, \exists a_2 > 0, \exists N_0$ such that $\forall N > N_0, a_1 g(x) \leq f(x) \leq a_2 g(x)$.
[9] Although mechanical variables can also be obtained from $f$, formula (S) is often more convenient for them.
[10] We can also obtain better formulas by replacing $u_k$ in Eq. (13) with $u_k^*$ or $\tilde{u}_k^*$ of Ref. [9].
[11] More precisely, $\beta = \beta(u_k^*; N)$, where $u_k^* = u_k + O(1/N)$ was defined in Ref. [5].